

FILTER CONVERGENCE STRUCTURES ON POSETS

¹Wee Wen Shih, ²Zhao Dongsheng

Mathematics and Mathematics Education, National Institute of Education
Nanyang Technological University, 1 Nanyang Walk, Singapore 637616
emails: ¹weews@yahoo.com, ²dongsheng.zhao@nie.edu.sg

³Ho Weng Kin

Raffles Institution, Raffles Science Institute
Raffles Institution (Junior College), One Raffles Institution Lane, Singapore 575954
email: ³ho_weng_kin@moe.edu.sg

Abstract

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce two convergence structures on each poset and thus embed the category of posets and Scott continuous maps into the category of convergence spaces which is cartesian-closed. More specifically, for each poset P , we define two convergence spaces (P, \downarrow_d) and (P, \downarrow_c) . The convergence space (P, \downarrow_c) was first constructed by Heckmann for directed complete posets. The main results of our investigation are: (i) (P, \downarrow_d) induces the Scott topology on P ; (ii) if P is a continuous poset, then $\downarrow_c = \downarrow_d$ but in general they are different; (iii) (P, \downarrow_d) is topological iff P is a continuous poset; (iv) (P, \downarrow_d) is topological iff it is pretopological; (v) if Y is a topological space then the function space $[P_d \rightarrow Y]$ is topological, where $P_d = (P, \downarrow_d)$; (vi) $(P \times Q)_d$ is homeomorphic to $P_d \times Q_d$ for any posets P and Q ; (vii) the meet-continuity and strongly meet-continuity are equivalent for directed-complete meet semilattices; (viii) for a meet-semilattice P , (P, \downarrow_d) is a convergence meet-semilattice iff (P, \leq) is meet-continuous.

1 Introduction

Directed complete posets are fundamental structures for domain theory, which was first introduced by Dana Scott to give the denotational semantics for untyped λ -calculus. Dag Normann [4] has recently shown that the fully abstract model for PCF of hereditarily-sequential functionals is not ω -complete and therefore not a domain in the traditional sense. Thus, the consideration of non directed complete continuous posets becomes a necessity.

For any poset P , there is a naturally defined topology $\sigma(P)$ on P , called the Scott topology on P . A mapping $f : P \rightarrow Q$ between two posets is continuous with respect to the Scott topologies on P and Q if and only if it preserves the existing suprema of directed subsets. Such mappings are called Scott continuous mappings. Let POS_d denote the category of all posets and Scott continuous mappings. The category POS_d contains a number of full subcategories which feature strongly in theoretical computer science, such as the category $DCPO$ of all directed complete posets, the category $CONPOS$ of all continuous posets and the category DOM of all domains. The assignment of (P, σ) to each poset P extends to a functor from POS_d to the category TOP_0 of T_0 topological spaces, thus embedding POS_d into TOP_0 as a full subcategory of TOP_0 . However, as Heckmann [1] pointed out, for directed complete posets, there are at least two shortcomings for this embedding: (i) the category TOP_0 is not cartesian-closed; (ii) the embedding does not preserve products. Heckmann first considered this problem for the category $DCPO$. For each dcpo (directed complete poset) he defined a filter convergence space (P, \downarrow_c) , thus embedding $DCPO$ into the category $CONV$ of filter convergence spaces as a full subcategory. In the current paper, we define another convergence space (P, \downarrow_d) for each poset, thus obtaining a functor from POS_d to $CONV$. In section 2, we give some preliminaries on Scott topology and convergence spaces. In section 3, we define (P, \downarrow_d) and (P, \downarrow_c) for any poset P and study their basic properties. In section 4, we investigate the relationship between the meet-continuity (strongly meet-continuity) of P and the property of (P, \downarrow_d) .

2 Scott topology on posets and convergence spaces

A subset A of a poset P is directed if it is nonempty and each pair of elements of A has an upper bound in A . A poset P is called a dcpo if every directed subset of P has a supremum (i.e. least upper bound). Let P be a poset. A subset $A \subseteq P$ is called a lower set if $A = \downarrow A = \{x \in P : x \leq y \text{ for some } y \in A\}$. The upper sets in a poset are defined dually. Define $A^\downarrow = \{d \in P : d \leq a \text{ for all } a \in A\}$, which is a set of lower bounds of any set A . A subset $U \subseteq P$ is called a Scott open set if (i) U is an upper set, and (ii) for each directed set $D \subseteq P$ that has a supremum in P , $\bigvee D \in U$ implies $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$. All Scott open sets of P form a topology on P , called the Scott topology and is denoted by $\sigma(P)$. A subset

$A \subseteq P$ is Scott closed if $P \setminus A$ is Scott open. Thus A is Scott closed iff (i) A is a lower set and (ii) for any directed set $D \subseteq A$, $\bigvee D \in A$ whenever $\bigvee D$ exists.

A mapping $f : P \rightarrow Q$ between posets is Scott continuous if it is continuous with respect to the Scott topologies on P and Q . The following lemma can be proved in the same way as for dcpos. (See [3] for the proof for dcpos.)

Lemma 1. *A mapping $f : P \rightarrow Q$ is Scott continuous iff it preserves the existing suprema of directed sets, that is $f(\bigvee D) = \bigvee f(D)$ holds for any directed set $D \subseteq P$ with $\bigvee D$ exists.*

An element a of a poset P is way-below an element b , denoted by $a \ll b$, if for every directed set D that has a supremum and $b \leq \bigvee D$, there exists $d \in D$ such that $a \leq d$.

Definition 1. *A poset P is continuous iff for every $y \in P$, $\{x \in P : x \ll y\}$ is a directed set and $\bigvee \{x \in P : x \ll y\} = y$.*

A lattice is called completely distributive iff it is complete and for any family $\{x_{j,k} : j \in J, k \in K(j)\}$ in L the identity $\bigwedge_{j \in J} \bigvee_{k \in K(j)} x_{j,k} = \bigvee_{f \in M} \bigwedge_{j \in J} x_{j,f(j)}$ holds, where M is the set of choice functions defined on J with values $f(j) \in K(j)$. The following lemma can be proved in a similar way as for dcpos (see [3]).

Lemma 2. *A poset P is continuous iff $\sigma(P)$ is a completely distributive lattice.*

A filter \mathcal{A} on a set X is a collection of subsets of X such that (i) $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ implies $A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$, and (ii) $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A \subseteq B$ implies $B \in \mathcal{A}$. A filter base \mathcal{B} on a set X is a subset of the powerset $\mathcal{P}X$ satisfying the conditions:

- (i) If $B \in \mathcal{B}$ then $B \neq \emptyset$.
- (ii) If $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ then there exists $B_3 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B_3 \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$.

Let \mathcal{B} be a filter base on X . Then the smallest filter containing \mathcal{B} will be denoted by $[\mathcal{B}]$, called the filter generated by \mathcal{B} . Clearly, $[\mathcal{B}] = \{A \subseteq X : A \supseteq B \text{ for some } B \in \mathcal{B}\}$. We use $[x]$ to denote the filter generated by the base $\{\{x\}\}$ which is called a principal filter.

Definition 2. *A (filter) convergence space is a set X together with a relation ' \downarrow ' between ΦX (the collection of all filters on X) and X such that the following two axioms hold:*

- (i) $[x] \downarrow x$ for all $x \in X$ (point filter axiom).
- (ii) $\mathcal{A} \downarrow x$ and $\mathcal{B} \supseteq \mathcal{A}$ implies $\mathcal{B} \downarrow x$ (subfilter axiom).

For every topological space (X, τ) , there is a corresponding convergence space (X, \downarrow_τ) defined by $\mathcal{A} \downarrow x$ iff $\mathcal{N}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{N}(x)$ denotes the collection of all neighbourhoods of x . A convergence space (X, \downarrow) is topological if $\downarrow = \downarrow_\tau$ for some topology τ on X .

Conversely, given a convergence space (X, \downarrow) , we can define a topology τ_\downarrow on X . For any $O \subseteq X$, $O \in \tau_\downarrow$ iff for any filter \mathcal{A} , $\mathcal{A} \downarrow x$ and $x \in O$ imply $O \in \mathcal{A}$. The topological space (X, τ_\downarrow) is called the topological space induced by the convergence space (X, \downarrow) .

Definition 3. *For a poset P , define the relation \downarrow_d between filters and points as follows: $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d x$ if there exists a directed set $D \subseteq P$ such that (i) $x \leq \bigvee D$, and (ii) for each $d \in D$ there is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $d \in A^\downarrow$.*

For the sake of convenience, we shall use P_d to denote (P, \downarrow_d) at times.

Obviously, condition (ii) in the above definition is equivalent to $D \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\downarrow$. It is easy to verify that (P, \downarrow_d) is a convergence space.

Lemma 3. *For any poset P , the following hold:*

- (i) If $D \subseteq P$ is a directed set, then $\{\uparrow d : d \in D\}$ is a filter base on P .
- (ii) For any directed set $D \subseteq P$ with $\bigvee D$ exists, we have $\{\{\uparrow d : d \in D\}\} \downarrow_d x$, where $x = \bigvee D$.

Lemma 4. *For each poset P , (P, \downarrow_d) induces the Scott topology on P .*

Proof. Let $U \subseteq P$ be open in the induced topology. We show that U is Scott open. Let $x \in U$ and $x \leq y$, i.e. $y \in \uparrow x$. Note that $[\uparrow x] \downarrow_d x$, because there is a directed set $D = \{x\}$ with $\bigvee D = x$ and for $x \in D$, $x \in (\uparrow x)^\downarrow$. Thus $U \in [\uparrow x]$, i.e. $\uparrow x \subseteq U$, implying $y \in U$. Thus U is an upper set. Now assume that $D \subseteq P$ is a directed set with $\bigvee D$ exists and $\bigvee D \in U$. By Lemma 3 (ii), $\{\{\uparrow d : d \in D\}\} \downarrow_d \bigvee D$ and thus $U \in \{\{\uparrow d : d \in D\}\}$, i.e. $\uparrow d \subseteq U$ for some $d \in D$, which implies $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Therefore U is Scott open.

Conversely, let U be Scott open in P and $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d x$, for some $x \in U$. Then there exists a directed set $D \subseteq P$ with $D \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\downarrow$ and $x \leq \bigvee D$. Since U is Scott open and $x \in U$, so $\bigvee D \in U$. Thus, there exists $d \in D \cap U$. Let $d \in A^\downarrow$ for some $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $d \leq a$ for all $a \in A$. Since $d \in U$ and U is an upper set, so $A \subseteq U$ and hence $U \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore U is open in the induced topology. \square

Let (X, τ) be a topological space. The specialisation preorder \leq on X is the pre-partial order defined by: $x \leq y$ iff $x \in \text{cl}(\{y\})$, where $\text{cl}(\{y\})$ is the closure of $\{y\}$. This specialisation preorder is a partial order iff X is a T_0 space. The induced preorder of a convergence space X is defined to be the specialisation preorder of its induced topology.

Remark 1. For every poset P , the specialisation order of $(P, \sigma(P))$ coincides with the order on P [3].

Corollary 1. For every poset P , (P, \downarrow_d) induces the order on P .

3 Comparison between (P, \downarrow_d) and (P, \downarrow_c)

In [1], Heckmann defined a convergence structure (P, \downarrow_c) for every dcpo as follows: For every dcpo P and filter \mathcal{A} , $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_c x$ iff $x \in \text{cl}(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\downarrow)$ where ‘cl’ is the closure operator in the Scott topology. Obviously this definition can be applied to any poset P instead of just dcpos.

Lemma 5. For any poset P , $\downarrow_d \subseteq \downarrow_c$ holds.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d x$. Then there is a directed set $D \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\downarrow$ with $x \leq \bigvee D$. Then $D \subseteq \text{cl}(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\downarrow)$ and hence $\bigvee D \in \text{cl}(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\downarrow)$. It follows that $x \in \text{cl}(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\downarrow)$ because every Scott closed set is a lower set. Hence $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_c x$. \square

A lower subset A of P has one step closure if $x \in \text{cl}(A)$ implies $x \leq \bigvee D$ for a directed $D \subseteq A$. A poset P is said to have one step closure if every lower subset has one step closure.

A subset B of a poset P is called a lower cut if $B = A^\downarrow$ for some $A \subseteq P$.

Lemma 6. For a poset P , $\downarrow_d = \downarrow_c$ iff every union of directed collection of lower cuts has one step closure.

Proof. If $\downarrow_d = \downarrow_c$ then for any filter \mathcal{A} and $x \in \text{cl}(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\downarrow)$, we have $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_c x$ and so $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d x$. Then $x \leq \bigvee D$ for some directed set $D \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\downarrow$. Hence $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\downarrow$ has one step closure. Now if $\mathcal{F} = \{F_i : i \in I\}$ is a collection of directed lower cuts, then $\mathcal{B} = \{F_i^\uparrow : i \in I\}$ is a filter base. Note that for any $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$, $F_i = F_i^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ because F_i is a lower cut. So $\bigcup \mathcal{F} = \bigcup \{B^\downarrow : B \in \mathcal{B}\} = \bigcup \{A^\downarrow : A \in [\mathcal{B}]\}$ and hence $\bigcup \mathcal{F}$ has one step closure.

Conversely, if the union of every directed collection of lower cuts has one step closure, then $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\downarrow$ has one step closure for any filter \mathcal{A} . Now, if $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_c x$, then $x \in \text{cl}(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\downarrow)$ so $x \leq \bigvee D$ for some directed $D \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\downarrow$, which implies $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d x$. By Lemma 5, $\downarrow_d = \downarrow_c$. \square

Thus, in particular, if P has one step closure, then $\downarrow_d = \downarrow_c$.

Lemma 7. Every continuous poset has one step closure.

Proof. Suppose P is a continuous poset and $A \subseteq P$, $A = \downarrow A$. Let $A' = \{x \in P : \text{there exists a directed } E \subseteq A, \bigvee E \geq x\}$. We show that A' is Scott closed. Obviously A' is a lower set, so we only need to show that it is closed under existing suprema of directed sets. Let $D \subseteq A'$ be directed for which $\bigvee D$ exists. For each $d \in D$, $\downarrow d = \{x \in P : x \ll d\}$ is a directed set with $\bigvee \downarrow d = d$, because P is continuous. Let $C = \bigcup \{\downarrow d : d \in D\}$. Then C is a directed subset of A with $\bigvee C = \bigvee \{\bigvee \downarrow d : d \in D\} = \bigvee D$. Now for each $d \in D$ and $x \in \downarrow d \subseteq C$, there is a directed subset $K \subseteq A$ such that $d \leq \bigvee K$, which implies $x \leq k$ for some $k \in K$. Thus $x \in A$ for each $x \in C$. Therefore $\bigvee D = \bigvee C \in A'$ because $C \subseteq A$. \square

Corollary 2. For any continuous poset P , $\downarrow_d = \downarrow_c$.

The next lemma shows that for each complete lattice L , \downarrow_c and \downarrow_d coincide. Note that such an L does not necessarily have one step closure.

Lemma 8. Let L be a complete lattice and \mathcal{A} a filter on L . The following statements are equivalent for any $x \in L$:

- (1) $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d x$.
- (2) $x \leq \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \bigwedge A$.
- (3) $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_c x$.

Proof. (1) implies (3): This follows from Lemma 5.

(3) implies (2): Suppose $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_c x$. Then $x \in \text{cl}(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\downarrow)$. Since L is a complete lattice, for any subset $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $A^\downarrow = \downarrow \bigwedge A \subseteq \downarrow (\bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \bigwedge A)$. Thus $\text{cl}(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\downarrow) \subseteq \downarrow (\bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \bigwedge A)$ because $\downarrow (\bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \bigwedge A)$ is a Scott closed set. Hence $x \leq \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \bigwedge A$.

(2) implies (1): Suppose $x \leq \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \bigwedge A$. Then $x \leq \bigvee D$ where $D = \{\bigwedge A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$. As D is obviously a directed set and $D \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\downarrow$, so $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d x$. \square

We now give an example of a dcpo for which $\downarrow_c \neq \downarrow_d$. First, note that for any filter base \mathcal{B} , $\bigcup_{A \in [\mathcal{B}]} A^\downarrow = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B^\downarrow$.

Example 1. Let $P = \{x_n^i : i, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x^i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\top\}$. Let the order on P be defined by:

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}. x_1^i \leq x_2^i \leq \dots \leq x_k^i \leq \dots \leq x^i \leq x^{i+1} \leq \dots \leq \top,$$

and

$$\forall i, k \in \mathbb{N}. x_k^i \leq a_k \leq a_{k+1} \leq \dots \leq \top.$$

Then P is a dcpo and

- (a) $\bigvee \{x_k^i : k \in \mathbb{N}\} = x^i, \forall i \in \mathbb{N};$
(b) $\bigvee \{a_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \bigvee \{x_k^k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \top.$

Now for each k , let $A_k = \{a_i : i \geq k\}$. Then $\{A_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a filter base. Let \mathcal{A} be the filter generated by $\{A_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

We note that $A_1^\perp = \{x_1^1, x_1^2, \dots, a_1\}$, $A_2^\perp = \{x_2^1, x_2^2, \dots, a_2\}$, ..., $A_k^\perp = \{x_k^1, x_k^2, \dots, a_k\}$ and $\top \in \text{cl}(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\perp)$.

Therefore, in P_c , the filter \mathcal{A} converges to \top . On the other hand, we see that the elements in each of the sets $A_1^\perp, \dots, A_k^\perp$ are incomparable. Moreover, the only kind of directed subsets that can be formed from the union of these A_k^\perp 's are subsets of exactly one of the chains $\{x_k^i : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, these directed subsets cannot have suprema equal to \top and so \mathcal{A} does not converge to \top in P_d .

Lemma 9. For any convergence space (X, \downarrow) , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) (X, \downarrow) is topological.
(2) $\downarrow = \downarrow_\tau$, where τ is the topology induced by (X, \downarrow) .

Proof. (1) implies (2). Assume (X, \downarrow) is topological. Then, by definition, $\downarrow = \downarrow_\sigma$ for some topology σ on X , i.e. $\mathcal{A} \downarrow x$ iff $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(x) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. We claim that $\mathcal{N}_{\tau_1}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\sigma(x)$ for any $x \in X$. To this end, take any open set $U \in \mathcal{N}_{\tau_1}(x)$. Since (X, \downarrow) is topological, $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(x) \downarrow x$ always holds. Together with the fact that $x \in U$, this implies that $U \in \mathcal{N}_\sigma(x)$ by the definition of the induced topology τ_1 . Thus $\mathcal{N}_{\tau_1}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\sigma(x)$.

(2) implies (1). This is trivial. \square

Remark 2. By Lemmata 4 and 9, it follows that (P, \downarrow_d) and (P, \downarrow_c) are topological iff $\downarrow_d = \downarrow_\tau$ and $\downarrow_c = \downarrow_\tau$ respectively, where $\tau = \sigma(P)$.

A convergence space X is pretopological if the neighbourhood filter of each point converges to this point [5].

Theorem 1. For a poset P , the following are equivalent:

- (1) (P, \downarrow_d) is topological
(2) (P, \downarrow_d) is pretopological
(3) P is a continuous poset.
(4) For any $x \in P$, there is a smallest filter \mathcal{A} with $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d x$.

Proof. (1) implies (2) by [5].

(2) implies (3). Suppose (P, \downarrow_d) is pretopological. The induced topology on P by \downarrow_d is the Scott topology. By the assumption, $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(x) \downarrow_d x$, where $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(x)$ denotes the set of Scott neighbourhoods of x , so there exists a directed set $D \subseteq \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{N}_\sigma(x)} U^\perp$ with $\bigvee D \geq x$. It is now enough to show that for each $u \in D, u \ll x$. If W is a directed set with $\bigvee W$ exists and $\bigvee W \geq x$, then $W \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for every $U \in \mathcal{N}_\sigma(x)$. Since $u \in D, u \in U^\perp$ for some $U \in \mathcal{N}_\sigma(x)$. Choose $y \in W \cap U$, then $y \geq u$. Therefore $u \ll x$. Thus P is continuous.

(3) implies (4). To show this, it is enough to check that $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(x) \downarrow_d x$ because every filter \mathcal{A} with $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d x$ must contain $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(x)$. Take $D = \{y \in P : y \ll x\}$. Then D is directed with $\bigvee D = x$. Furthermore, for each $y \in D, U = \{w : y \ll w\}$ is Scott open with $x \in U$, and $y \in U^\perp$. All these show that $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(x) \downarrow_d x$.

(4) implies (3). Let $a \in P$ and \mathcal{A} be the smallest filter with $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d a$. By definition, there is a directed set D with $\bigvee D \geq a$ and $D \subseteq \bigcup \{B^\perp : B \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Now we show that $D \subseteq \{x \in P : x \ll a\}$. For any $e \in D$ and directed set W with $\bigvee W \geq a$, the filter $[\uparrow w : w \in W]$ converges to a under \downarrow_d , so $[\uparrow w : w \in W] \supseteq \mathcal{A}$. There is $B \in [\uparrow w : w \in W]$ such that $e \in B^\perp$ and $B \supseteq \uparrow w$ for some $w \in W$, which implies $e \leq w$. It follows that $e \ll a$.

(3) implies (1). Let P be continuous. If $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d x$ and U is Scott open containing x , then there exists a directed set $D \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^\perp$ with $\bigvee D \geq x$, and $e \in D \cap U$. Suppose $e \in A^\perp$ for some $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $A \subseteq \uparrow e \subseteq U$, implying $U \in \mathcal{A}$. Now for each point $y \in P, F = \{x \in P : x \ll y\}$ is directed with $\bigvee F = y$ and each $\uparrow x (x \in F)$ is a neighbourhood of y with $x \in (\uparrow x)^\perp$. This shows that $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(x) \downarrow_d x$. Hence (P, \downarrow_d) is topological. \square

Definition 4. [1] A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between two convergence spaces (X, \downarrow_X) and (Y, \downarrow_Y) is continuous if $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_X x$ implies $f^+(\mathcal{A}) \downarrow_Y f(x)$.

Definition 5. [1] For two convergence spaces X and Y , the function space $[X \rightarrow Y]$ is the set of continuous functions from X to Y with $\mathcal{F} \downarrow f$ iff for all $\mathcal{A} \downarrow x$ in $X, \mathcal{F}.\mathcal{A} \downarrow f(x)$ in Y . Here, $\mathcal{F}.\mathcal{A} = [F.A : F \in \mathcal{F}, A \in \mathcal{A}]$ and $F.A = \{f(a) : f \in F, a \in A\}$.

A convergence space (X, \downarrow) is called locally finitary if for all $\mathcal{A} \downarrow x$ and induced open neighbourhoods U of x , there is a finite subset $F \subseteq U$ with $\uparrow F \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 1. If Y is a topological space then $[P_d \rightarrow Y]$ is topological, where $[P_d \rightarrow Y]$ refers to the set of continuous functions from P_d to Y and P_d denotes (P, \downarrow_d) .

Proof. It is clear that P_c is locally finitary for any poset P . By Lemma 5, P_d is locally finitary also. By Theorem 7.20 [1], we conclude that $[P_d \rightarrow Y]$ is topological. \square

Let POS_d denote the category of posets and Scott continuous mappings.

For any function $f : X \rightarrow Y$, define $f^+ : \Phi X \rightarrow \Phi Y$ by $f^+(\mathcal{A}) = [f^+(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}]$.

Proposition 2. A mapping $f : P_d \rightarrow Q_d$ is continuous iff f is a Scott continuous function from P to Q .

Proof. Assume that $f : P_d \rightarrow Q_d$ is continuous. If $x \leq y$, then $[y] \downarrow_d x$ and $f^+([y]) \downarrow_d f(x)$ hold. This implies $f(x) \leq f(y)$ and so f is monotone. If $D \subseteq P$ is a directed set with $\bigvee D$ exists, then $[\uparrow z : z \in D] \downarrow_d \bigvee D$ holds. Thus $f^+([\uparrow z : z \in D]) \downarrow_d f(\bigvee D)$. So there exists a directed set $E \subseteq Q$ such that each $e \in E$ is below some $f(z)$, $z \in D$ and $\bigvee E \geq f(\bigvee D)$. Since f is monotone, $\bigvee \{f(z) : z \in D\}$ exists and equals $f(\bigvee D)$.

Conversely, let $f : P_d \rightarrow Q_d$ be Scott continuous and $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d x$ in (P, \downarrow_d) . Then there exists a directed set $D \subseteq P$ such that $\bigvee D \geq x$ and for each $e \in D$ there is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $e \in A^\perp$. Since f is Scott continuous, $\bigvee f(D) = f(\bigvee D) \geq f(x)$. As f is monotone, for all $a \in A$, $f(e) \leq f(a)$ implies $f(e) \in (f(A))^\perp$. Therefore $f^+(\mathcal{A}) \downarrow_d f(x)$ as required. \square

Thus, the assignment $P \rightarrow P_d$ extends to a functor $F : POS_d \rightarrow CONV$ which is full and faithful. So, POS_d is equivalent to a full subcategory of $CONV$.

Definition 6. [1] Let $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ be the product of a family $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ of convergence spaces with the initial structure for the projections $\pi_i : \prod_{i \in I} X_i \rightarrow X_i$. Then $\mathcal{A} \downarrow x$ in the product iff $\pi_i^+(\mathcal{A}) \downarrow x_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Lemma 10. For any collection $(P_i)_{i \in I}$ of posets, the identity mapping $(\prod_{i \in I} P_i)_d \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} (P_i)_d$ is continuous.

Proof. By Proposition 2, the projections $\prod_{i \in I} P_i \rightarrow P_i$ are Scott continuous, hence continuous $(\prod_{i \in I} P_i)_d \rightarrow (P_i)_d$. Thus, the identity mapping from $(\prod_{i \in I} P_i)_d$ to $\prod_{i \in I} (P_i)_d$ is continuous. \square

Proposition 3. For any two posets P and Q , $(P \times Q)_d = P_d \times Q_d$.

Proof. By Lemma 10, we have $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d (x, y)$ in $(P \times Q)_d \implies \mathcal{A} \downarrow_d (x, y)$ in $P_d \times Q_d$. We just need to show that if $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d (x, y)$ in $P_d \times Q_d$, then $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d (x, y)$ in $(P \times Q)_d$. Since $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d (x, y)$ in $P_d \times Q_d$, $\pi_1^+(\mathcal{A}) \downarrow_d x$ and $\pi_2^+(\mathcal{A}) \downarrow_d y$. Then there are directed subsets D and E of P and Q respectively, such that $\bigvee D \geq x$, $\bigvee E \geq y$ and for each $u \in D$ there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $u \in (\pi_1^+(A))^\perp$ and for each $v \in E$ there exists $B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $v \in (\pi_2^+(B))^\perp$. Let $K = D \times E$. Then

(a) K is a directed subset of $P \times Q$.

(b) $\bigvee K = (\bigvee D, \bigvee E) \geq (x, y)$.

(c) For each $(u, v) \in K$, there are $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $u \in (\pi_1^+(A))^\perp$ and $v \in (\pi_2^+(B))^\perp$. So $(u, v) \leq (p, q)$ for all $(p, q) \in (\pi_1^+(A), \pi_2^+(B))$.

Now $A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $(r, s) \in A \cap B$. Then $(r, s) \in (\pi_1^+(A \cap B), \pi_2^+(A \cap B)) \subset (\pi_1^+(A), \pi_2^+(B))$, so that $(r, s) \geq (u, v)$. Thus $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d (x, y)$ in $(P \times Q)_d$. \square

4 Meet-continuous posets

In this section we study the posets P for which the convergence space (P, \downarrow_d) satisfies an additional axiom [5]:

(CONV3) $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d x$ and $\mathcal{B} \downarrow_d x$ imply $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} \downarrow_d x$.

Definition 7. (i) A poset P is called meet-continuous if for any directed subset D with $\bigvee D \geq x$, x is in the Scott closure of $\downarrow D \cap \downarrow x$ [2].

(ii) A poset P is called strongly meet-continuous if for any directed subsets D and E with $\bigvee D, \bigvee E$ exist and $\bigvee D \geq \bigvee E$, there is a directed subset $H \subseteq \downarrow D \cap \downarrow E$ such that $\bigvee H = \bigvee E$.

The poset in Example 1 is a dcpo which is not meet-continuous. To see this, take $D = \{x_1^2, x_2^2, \dots, x_k^2\}$. We note that $\bigvee D = x^2 \geq x^1$. However $\downarrow D \cap \downarrow x^1 = \emptyset$.

It is easy to verify that every strongly meet-continuous poset is also meet-continuous.

Remark 3. If P is a continuous poset, then it is strongly meet-continuous. As a matter of fact, if D and E are directed subsets of P such that $\bigvee D \geq \bigvee E$, then for any $x \in E$, $\downarrow x = \{y \in P : y \ll x\} \subseteq \downarrow D$. Take $H = \bigcup_{x \in E} \downarrow x$. Then $\bigvee H = \bigvee_{x \in E} x = \bigvee E$ and $H \subseteq \downarrow D \cap \downarrow E$.

A meet-semilattice is a poset P in which for any two elements x and y , $x \wedge y$ exists.

Lemma 11. Let L be a meet-semilattice and a dcpo. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) For any $x \in L$ and directed $D \subseteq L$, $x \wedge \bigvee D = \bigvee \{x \wedge y : y \in D\}$.
- (2) L is meet-continuous.
- (3) L is strongly meet-continuous.
- (4) For any directed set $D \subseteq L$ with $\bigvee D \geq x$ there is a directed $E \subseteq \downarrow D \cap \downarrow x$ such that $\bigvee E = x$.

Proof. (1) implies (2). Let $D \subseteq L$ be any directed set with $\bigvee D \geq x$. Let $E = \{u \wedge x : u \in D\}$. Then E is a directed set and $E \subseteq \downarrow D \cap \downarrow x$. $\downarrow D \cap \downarrow x$ is a lower set and $\bigvee E = \bigvee \{u \wedge x : u \in D\}$. By (1), $x \wedge \bigvee D = \bigvee \{u \wedge x : u \in D\} = \bigvee E$. So $x \wedge \bigvee D = x = \bigvee E$. Thus, $x \in \text{cl}(\downarrow D \cap \downarrow x)$.

(2) implies (1). Let $D \subseteq L$ be a directed set and $x \in L$. Let $y = \bigvee D \wedge x$, so $y \leq \bigvee D$ and $y \leq x$. By (2), $y \in \text{cl}(\downarrow D \cap \downarrow y) \subseteq \text{cl}(\downarrow D \cap \downarrow x)$. Consider $\downarrow \bigvee \{x \wedge u : u \in D\} \supseteq \text{cl}(\downarrow D \cap \downarrow x)$. Now $y \in \downarrow \bigvee \{x \wedge u : u \in D\}$, so $y \leq \bigvee \{x \wedge u : u \in D\}$. Also, $y \geq \bigvee \{x \wedge u : u \in D\}$. Therefore, $y = \bigvee D \wedge x = \bigvee \{x \wedge u : u \in D\}$.

(1) implies (3). Let $D \subseteq L$ and $E \subseteq L$ be directed sets with $\bigvee D \geq \bigvee E$. Then $\bigvee D \wedge \bigvee E = \bigvee E$. By (1), $\bigvee \{u \wedge \bigvee E : u \in D\} = \bigvee E$. Applying (1) again, $\bigvee \{\bigvee \{u \wedge e : u \in D\} : v \in E\} = \bigvee E$. Let $D' = \{u \wedge e : u \in D, v \in E\}$. Then D' is directed and is contained in $\downarrow D \cap \downarrow E$ with $\bigvee D' = \bigvee E$. So (3) is proven.

(1) implies (4). Let $E = \{x \wedge y : y \in D\}$. Then E is directed and $E \subseteq \downarrow D \cap \downarrow x$. By (1), $\bigvee E = \bigvee \{x \wedge y : y \in D\} = x \wedge \bigvee D$. Since $\bigvee D \geq x$, $\bigvee E = x \wedge \bigvee D = x$.

(4) implies (1). By (4), $\bigvee D \geq x$ and so $x \wedge \bigvee D = x = \bigvee E \in \downarrow D \cap \downarrow x$ which implies that $x \in \text{cl}(\downarrow D \cap \downarrow x)$. Consider $\downarrow \bigvee \{x \wedge u : u \in D\} \supseteq \text{cl}(\downarrow D \cap \downarrow x) \ni x$. So $x \leq \bigvee \{x \wedge u : u \in D\}$. Also, $x \geq \bigvee \{x \wedge u : u \in D\}$. Therefore, $x = \bigvee D \wedge x = \bigvee \{x \wedge u : u \in D\}$. \square

Proposition 4. Let P be a poset. The following statements are equivalent:

(1) P is strongly meet-continuous.

(2) $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d x$ and $\mathcal{B} \downarrow_d x$ imply $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} \downarrow_d x$.

Proof. Let (P, \downarrow_d) satisfy the condition (CONV3). If $D \subseteq P$ and $E \subseteq P$ are directed sets with $\bigvee D \geq \bigvee E$, then $[\uparrow u : u \in D] \downarrow_d e$ and $[\uparrow v : v \in E] \downarrow_d e$, where $e = \bigvee E$. Thus $[\uparrow u : u \in D] \cap [\uparrow v : v \in E] \downarrow_d e$. Thus there is a directed set H with $\bigvee H \geq e$ and $H \cup \{B^\downarrow : B \in [\uparrow u : u \in D] \cap [\uparrow v : v \in E]\} \subseteq \downarrow D \cap \downarrow E$. Obviously $\bigvee H = e = \bigvee E$.

Conversely, suppose that P is strongly meet-continuous. Let $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d x, \mathcal{B} \downarrow_d x$. Then there exist directed sets D, E such that $D \subseteq \bigcup \{A^\downarrow : A \in \mathcal{A}\}, E \subseteq \bigcup \{B^\downarrow : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ and $\bigvee D \geq x, \bigvee E \geq x$. Since P is strongly meet-continuous, there is a directed set $H_1 \subseteq \downarrow D \cap \downarrow x$ such that $\bigvee H_1 = x$. Now $\bigvee E \geq \bigvee H_1$ so there is a directed set $H_2 \subseteq \downarrow H_1 \cap \downarrow E$ such that $\bigvee H_2 \geq \bigvee H_1 = x$. Now $[\uparrow w : w \in H_2] \downarrow x$ and $[\uparrow w : w \in H_2] \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. It follows that $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} \downarrow_d x$. \square

Let P be a meet-semilattice. Then $\wedge : P \times P \rightarrow P$ is a mapping sending (x, y) to $x \wedge y$. A convergence semilattice is a convergence space (X, \downarrow) such that X is a meet-semilattice and $\wedge : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuous.

Proposition 5. For a meet-semilattice P , (P, \downarrow_d) is a convergence meet-semilattice iff (P, \leq) is meet-continuous.

Proof. Suppose that (P, \downarrow_d) is a convergence meet-semilattice. By Lemma 11, we only need to show that for any $x \in P$ and directed $D \subseteq P$, $x \wedge \bigvee D = \bigvee \{x \wedge y : y \in D\}$. Since $\wedge : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuous, it is Scott continuous. So $\wedge(\bigvee \{(x, u) : u \in D\}) = \wedge(x, \bigvee D) = x \wedge \bigvee D = \bigvee \{\wedge(x, u) : u \in D\} = \bigvee \{x \wedge u : u \in D\}$.

Conversely, assume that (P, \leq) is meet-continuous and that $\mathcal{A} \downarrow_d (x, y)$. Now $\pi_1^+(\mathcal{A}) \downarrow_d x$ and $\pi_2^+(\mathcal{A}) \downarrow_d y$. Since $\pi_1^+(\mathcal{A}) \downarrow_d x$, there exists a directed subset $D_1 \subseteq P$ such that $\bigvee D_1 \geq x$ and $D_1 \subseteq \bigcup_{A_1 \in \pi_1^+(\mathcal{A})} A_1^\downarrow$. Since $\pi_2^+(\mathcal{A}) \downarrow_d y$, there exists a directed subset $D_2 \subseteq P$ such that $\bigvee D_2 \geq y$ and $D_2 \subseteq \bigcup_{A_2 \in \pi_2^+(\mathcal{A})} A_2^\downarrow$. Now $\bigvee D_1 \wedge \bigvee D_2 \geq x \wedge y$, so $\bigvee \{u \wedge v : u \in D_1, v \in D_2\} \geq x \wedge y$. We see that $D = \{u \wedge v : u \in D_1, v \in D_2\}$ is a directed set. For all $u \in D_1$ and all $v \in D_2$, there exists $A_1 \in \pi_1^+(\mathcal{A})$ with $u \in A_1^\downarrow$ and there exists $A_2 \in \pi_2^+(\mathcal{A})$ with $v \in A_2^\downarrow$. There exists $\bar{A}_1 \in \mathcal{A}$, $A_1 \supseteq \pi_1^+(\bar{A}_1)$ and there exists $\bar{A}_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, $A_2 \supseteq \pi_2^+(\bar{A}_2)$. Take $\bar{A} = \bar{A}_1 \cap \bar{A}_2$. Then $u \wedge v \in \wedge(\bar{A})^\downarrow$. Since for all $(x_1, y_1) \in \bar{A}$, $x_1 \in \pi_1(\bar{A}) \subseteq \pi_1(A_1) \subseteq A_1$, so $u \leq x_1$. In a similar approach, $v \leq y_1$. \square

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the definition of \downarrow_d for any poset P has numerous desirable characteristics. This can be considered as preliminary work that may lead to applications in other fields such as theoretical computer science.

References

- [1] R. Heckmann. A non-topological view of dcpos as convergence spaces. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 305:159-186, 2003.
- [2] H. Kou, Y.-M. Liu, and M.-K. Luo. On meet-continuous dcpos. *Domain Theory, Logic and Computation*, 117-136, 2004.
- [3] G. Gierz, K. H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J. D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D. S. Scott. *Continuous Lattices and Domains*. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [4] D. Normann. On sequential functionals of type 3. *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science*, 16(2) (2006) 279-289.
- [5] R. Beattie and H.-P. Butzmann. *Convergence Structures and Applications to Functional Analysis*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002.
- [6] Bärbel M. R. Stadler, Peter F. Stadler. *Basic Properties of Filter Convergence Spaces*. Technical report. URL: <http://www.tbi.univie.ac.at/papers/Abstracts/01-pfs-007-sub11.pdf>, 2002.
- [7] D. Zhao. Generalization of frames and continuous lattices. *Ph. D. Thesis*, 1993, Cambridge University.
- [8] G. N. Raney. Completely distributive lattices. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(1952), 677-680.
- [9] R.-E Hoffman. Continuous posets, prime spectra of completely distributive complete lattices, and Hausdorff compactifications. *Bremen*, (1979), 159-208.