

THE RIEMANN INTEGRAL USING ORDERED OPEN COVERINGS

ZHAO DONGSHENG AND LEE PENG YEE

ABSTRACT. We define the Riemann integral for bounded functions defined on a general topological measure space. When the space is a compact metric space the integral is equivalent to the R-integral defined by Edalat using domain theory.

1. Introduction. Edalat [1] defined a Riemann type integral on a compact metric space, called the R-integral, using domain theory. The integral so defined has applications in various fields such as dynamic systems and chaos, and the work in [1] has also inspired other interesting researches, see [2, 3, 5]. The main properties of this new integral among others are: (1) If the space is $[a, b]$, then this integral coincides with the ordinary Riemann integral; (2) a bounded function f is R-integrable if and only if it is continuous almost everywhere; (3) if f is R-integrable then it is also Lebesgue integrable and the value of the R-integral equals that of the Lebesgue integral of f . However, as the definition of the R-integral and most of the proofs in [1] rely heavily on very technical details of domain theory, this integral is hardly accessible to those who know little about domain theory. Furthermore, unlike the Riemann sum over a partition, the Riemann sum over a simple valuation, the key structure in defining R-integral, lacks a clear geometric interpretation. In this paper we define a Riemann type integral with a domain-free approach. To make it easier to compare this integral with other known integrals we first introduce the more general \mathcal{M} -integral for a given collection \mathcal{M} of some measurable subsets satisfying certain conditions. The integral introduced here is defined for bounded real valued functions on an arbitrary topological measure space X which need not be a compact metric space as required in [1]; it is a generalization of the Riemann integral on intervals; a function f is integrable if and only if it is continuous almost everywhere when the space is

2000 AMS *Mathematics subject classification*. Primary 26A42, 03E04.

Keywords and phrases. Ordered open coverings, Riemann integral, Lebesgue integral.

Received by the editors on July 10, 2002, and in revised form on March 8, 2003.

compact; when f is integrable it is Lebesgue integrable and the values for these two integrals equal. All these then imply that this integral is equivalent to the R-integral defined by Edalat when the space X is a compact metric space.

1. Ordered coverings. Let X be a nonempty set and \mathcal{M} be a collection of subsets of X satisfying

- (M1) X and \emptyset are in \mathcal{M} ;
- (M2) $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$ imply $A \cap B \in \mathcal{M}$.

Definition 1.1. An ordered \mathcal{M} -covering of X is an ordered tuple

$$\mathcal{A} = \langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N \rangle$$

of sets A_i in \mathcal{M} such that $\cup_{i=1}^N A_i = X$. We also use the ordered chain

$$A_1 < A_2 < \dots < A_N$$

to denote the above ordered covering. Here N could be any positive integer.

Put $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}} = \{\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{A} \text{ is an ordered } \mathcal{M}\text{- covering of } X\}$.

Remark 1.2. (1) The set A_i in an ordered covering could be empty.

(2) For any X and any \mathcal{M} , $\langle X \rangle$ is an ordered \mathcal{M} - covering.

Example 1.3. (1) If X is a topological space and \mathcal{M} is the collection of all open sets then \mathcal{M} satisfies (M1) and (M2). Such ordered \mathcal{M} -coverings will be called ordered open coverings of X . Ordered open coverings are used by Edalat in [2] to construct a sequence of simple valuations that approaches a given measure.

(2) If X is a measure space and \mathcal{M} is the set of all measurable sets of X , then \mathcal{M} satisfies (M1) and (M2). Such ordered \mathcal{M} -coverings are called ordered measurable coverings.

(3) Let X be a topological space and \mathcal{M} the collection of all closed subsets of X . Then \mathcal{M} satisfies (M1) and (M2).

(4) Let X be the set \mathcal{R} of all real numbers. A subset A of X is said to be of density 1 at a point c if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(A \cap (c - h, c + h))}{2h} = 1.$$

Let \mathcal{M} be the collection of all subsets A of X such that A is of density 1 at each point $c \in A$. Then \mathcal{M} satisfies (M1) and (M2).

Definition 1.4. Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N \rangle$ and $\mathcal{B} = \langle B_1, B_2, \dots, B_M \rangle$ be two ordered \mathcal{M} -coverings of X . Define $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}$ to be the ordered covering in which the \mathcal{M} -sets are $A_i \cap B_j$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, $1 \leq j \leq M$, and $A_i \cap B_j < A_{i'} \cap B_{j'}$ if and only if either $i < i'$ or $i = i'$ and $j < j'$.

2. The Riemann sums over ordered coverings. We now define the lower and upper Riemann sums of a bounded function defined on a measure space and then use these to define the Riemann integral.

In the following we assume that (X, \mathcal{H}, μ) is a measure space with $\mu(X) = 1$, and \mathcal{M} is a collection of measurable subsets satisfying (M1) and (M2). Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a bounded real valued function on X and $A \subseteq X$. Define

$$\inf f(A) = \inf\{f(x) : x \in A\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup f(A) = \sup\{f(x) : x \in A\}.$$

We assume that $\inf f(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\sup f(\emptyset) = 0$.

Definition 2.1. Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a bounded real valued function. For each $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N \rangle \in \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$, define

$$S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mu(A_i^*) \inf f(A_i) \quad \text{and} \quad S^u(f, \mathcal{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mu(A_i^*) \sup f(A_i),$$

where $A_1^* = A_1$ and $A_i^* = A_i - \cup_{j < i} A_j$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, N$.

We call $S^l(f, \mathcal{A})$ and $S^u(f, \mathcal{A})$ the lower and upper Riemann sums of f over \mathcal{A} , respectively.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$. Then, for any bounded function $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ we have*

$$S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) \leq S^l(f, \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}) \leq S^u(f, \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}) \leq S^u(f, \mathcal{A}),$$

and

$$S^l(f, \mathcal{B}) \leq S^l(f, \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}) \leq S^u(f, \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}) \leq S^u(f, \mathcal{B}).$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N \rangle$ and $\mathcal{B} = \langle B_1, B_2, \dots, B_M \rangle$. Notice that $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B} = \{A_i \cap B_j\}$ in which $A_i \cap B_j < A_{i'} \cap B_{j'}$ if either $i < i'$, or $i = i'$ and $j < j'$. So we have

$$(A_k \cap B_l)^* = A_k \cap B_l - \bigcup_{(i,j) < (k,l)} A_i \cap B_j,$$

where $(i, j) < (k, l)$ if either $i < k$ or $i = k$ and $j < l$. Notice that $\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq M} B_j = X$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{(i,j) < (k,l)} A_i \cap B_j &= \bigcup_{i < k} \left(\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq M} (A_i \cap B_j) \right) \cup \bigcup_{j < l} (A_k \cap B_j) \\ &= \bigcup_{i < k} \left(A_i \cap \left(\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq M} B_j \right) \right) \cup \left(A_k \cap \bigcup_{j < l} B_j \right) \\ &= \bigcup_{i < k} A_i \cup \left(A_k \cap \bigcup_{j < l} B_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(A_k \cap B_l)^* = (A_k \cap B_l) - \left(\bigcup_{i < k} A_i \cup \left(A_k \cap \bigcup_{j < l} B_j \right) \right).$$

We first prove

$$S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) \leq S^l(f, \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}) \leq S^u(f, \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}) \leq S^u(f, \mathcal{A}).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 S^l(f, \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}) &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq j \leq M} \mu((A_i \cap B_j)^*) \inf f(A_i \cap B_j) \\
 &= \mu(A_1 \cap B_1) \inf f(A_1 \cap B_1) + \mu(A_1 \cap B_2 - A_1 \cap B_1) \\
 &\quad \times \inf f(A_1 \cap B_2) + \cdots \\
 &\quad + \mu\left(A_1 \cap B_M - A_1 \cap \bigcup_{j < M} B_j\right) \inf f(A_1 \cap B_M) + \cdots \\
 &\quad + \mu\left(A_k \cap B_1 - \bigcup_{i < k} A_i\right) \inf f(A_k \cap B_1) \\
 &\quad + \mu\left(A_k \cap B_2 - \left((A_k \cap B_1) \cup \bigcup_{i < k} A_i\right)\right) \inf f(A_k \cap B_2) + \cdots \\
 &\quad + \mu\left(A_k \cap B_M - \left(\left(A_k \cap \bigcup_{j < M} B_j\right) \cup \bigcup_{i < k} A_i\right)\right) \\
 &\quad \times \inf f(A_k \cap B_M) + \cdots \\
 &\quad + \mu\left(A_N \cap B_1 - \bigcup_{i < N} A_i\right) \inf f(A_N \cap B_1) \\
 &\quad + \mu\left(A_N \cap B_2 - \left((A_N \cap B_1) \cup \bigcup_{i < N} A_i\right)\right) \\
 &\quad \times \inf f(A_N \cap B_2) + \cdots \\
 &\quad + \mu\left(A_N \cap B_M - \left(\left(A_N \cap \bigcup_{j < M} B_j\right) \cup \bigcup_{i < N} A_i\right)\right) \\
 &\quad \times \inf f(A_N \cap B_M).
 \end{aligned}$$

For each $1 \leq k \leq N$, we have

$$\mu\left(A_k \cap B_1 - \bigcup_{i < k} A_i\right) \inf f(A_k \cap B_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mu \left(A_k \cap B_2 - \left((A_k \cap B_1) \cup \bigcup_{i < k} A_i \right) \right) \inf f(A_k \cap B_2) + \dots \\
& + \mu \left(A_k \cap B_M - \left(\left(A_k \cap \bigcup_{j < M} B_j \right) \cup \bigcup_{i < k} A_i \right) \right) \inf f(A_k \cap B_M) \\
\geq & \left[\mu \left(A_k \cap B_1 - \bigcup_{i < k} A_i \right) + \mu \left(A_k \cap B_2 - \left((A_k \cap B_1) \cup \bigcup_{i < k} A_i \right) \right) + \dots \right. \\
& \left. + \mu \left(A_k \cap B_M - \left(\left(A_k \cap \bigcup_{j < M} B_j \right) \cup \bigcup_{i < k} A_i \right) \right) \right] \inf f(A_k) \\
= & \mu \left(\left(A_k \cap B_1 - \bigcup_{i < k} A_i \right) \cup \left(A_k \cap B_2 - \left((A_k \cap B_1) \cup \bigcup_{i < k} A_i \right) \right) \cup \dots \right. \\
& \left. \cup \left(A_k \cap B_M - \left(\left(A_k \cap \bigcup_{j < M} B_j \right) \cup \bigcup_{i < k} A_i \right) \right) \right) \inf f(A_k) \\
= & \mu \left(A_k - \bigcup_{i < k} A_i \right) \inf f(A_k),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last and the second to the last equation follow from the fact that the sets

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_k \cap B_1 - \bigcup_{i < k} A_i, A_k \cap B_2 - \left((A_k \cap B_1) \cup \bigcup_{i < k} A_i \right), \dots, \\
& A_k \cap B_M - \left(\left(A_k \cap \bigcup_{j < M} B_j \right) \cup \bigcup_{i < k} A_i \right)
\end{aligned}$$

are pairwise disjoint and their union is $A_k - \bigcup_{i < k} A_i$.

Since $A_k - \bigcup_{i < k} A_i = A_k^*$, it then follows that

$$S^l(f, \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}) \geq S^l(f, \mathcal{A}).$$

Similarly we can prove

$$S^u(f, \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}) \leq S^u(f, \mathcal{A}).$$

Now we prove

$$S^l(f, \mathcal{B}) \leq S^l(f, \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}).$$

For each $1 \leq l \leq M$, the sum of the terms in $S^l(f, \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B})$ involving B_l is

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu((A_1 \cap B_l)^*) \inf f(A_1 \cap B_l) + \mu((A_2 \cap B_l)^*) \inf f(A_2 \cap B_l) + \cdots \\ & + \mu((A_N \cap B_l)^*) \inf f(A_N \cap B_l) \\ & \geq [\mu((A_1 \cap B_l)^*) + \mu((A_2 \cap B_l)^*) + \cdots + \mu((A_N \cap B_l)^*)] \inf f(B_l). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, $\mu((A_1 \cap B_l)^*) + \mu((A_2 \cap B_l)^*) + \cdots + \mu((A_N \cap B_l)^*) = \mu((A_1 \cap B_l)^* \cup (A_2 \cap B_l)^* \cup \cdots \cup (A_N \cap B_l)^*)$ because $(A_1 \cap B_l)^*, (A_2 \cap B_l)^*, \dots, (A_N \cap B_l)^*$ are pairwise disjoint.

Notice that for any four sets A, B, C and D we have the equation $(A - B) \cap (C - D) = A \cap C - ((B \cap C) \cup D)$. Then for each $m \leq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} (A_m \cap B_l)^* &= (A_m \cap B_l) - \left(\left(A_m \cap \bigcup_{j < l} B_j \right) \cup \bigcup_{i < m} A_i \right) \\ &= \left(B_l - \bigcup_{j < l} B_j \right) \cap \left(A_m - \bigcup_{i < m} A_i \right) \\ &= B_l^* \cap \left(A_m - \bigcup_{i < m} A_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (A_1 \cap B_l)^* \cup (A_2 \cap B_l)^* \cup \cdots \cup (A_N \cap B_l)^* \\ &= B_l^* \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^N \left(A_i - \bigcup_{j < i} A_j \right) \\ &= B_l^* \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^N A_i = B_l^* \cap X = B_l^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $S^l(f, \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}) \geq \sum_{j \leq M} \mu(B_j^*) \inf f(B_j) = S^l(f, \mathcal{B})$. Similarly, we can show $S^u(f, \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}) \leq \bar{S}^u(f, \mathcal{B})$. The proof is complete.

Corollary 2.3. For any $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$, $S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) \leq S^u(f, \mathcal{B})$.

Proof. This follows from

$$S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) \leq S^l(f, \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}) \leq S^u(f, \mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}) \leq S^u(f, \mathcal{B}).$$

Definition 2.4. Let \mathcal{M} be a collection of measurable sets of X satisfying (M1) and (M2). For any bounded function $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ define

$$(\mathcal{M}) \int_{-} f d\mu = \text{Sup} \{S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) : \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{M}\},$$

$$(\mathcal{M}) \int_{-}^{-} f d\mu = \text{Inf} \{S^u(f, \mathcal{A}) : \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{M}\}.$$

Remark 2.5. (1) From Corollary 2.3 it follows immediately that

$$(\mathcal{M}) \int_{-} f d\mu \leq (\mathcal{M}) \int_{-}^{-} f d\mu.$$

(2) If $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2$, then obviously

$$(\mathcal{M}_1) \int_{-} f d\mu \leq (\mathcal{M}_2) \int_{-} f d\mu \leq (\mathcal{M}_2) \int_{-}^{-} f d\mu \leq (\mathcal{M}_1) \int_{-}^{-} f d\mu.$$

(3) If in an ordered covering $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N \rangle$, A_i is contained in the union of those A_j with $j < i$, then we can remove A_i from \mathcal{A} without effecting the values of the Riemann sums. In particular we can always remove the empty set from \mathcal{A} .

3. The \mathcal{M} -integral. Now we can define a Riemann type integral for each \mathcal{M} satisfying the conditions (M1) and (M2) which includes both the Riemann integral and the Lebesgue integral as special cases when the functions considered are bounded.

Definition 3.1. Given a collection \mathcal{M} of measurable sets satisfying the conditions (M1) and (M2). A bounded real valued function $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is called \mathcal{M} -integrable if

$$(\mathcal{M}) \int_{-} f d\mu = (\mathcal{M}) \int_{-}^{-} f d\mu.$$

In this case we call $(\mathcal{M}) \int_{-} f d\mu = (\mathcal{M}) \int_{-}^{-} f d\mu$ the \mathcal{M} -integral of f on X and denote it by

$$(\mathcal{M}) \int f d\mu.$$

Corollary 3.2. *If $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2$ then by Remark 2.5, every \mathcal{M}_1 -integrable function is also \mathcal{M}_2 -integrable, and in this case*

$$(\mathcal{M}_1) \int f d\mu = (\mathcal{M}_2) \int f d\mu.$$

For any scalar k and any two functions f and g we have

$$(\mathcal{M}) \int_- k f d\mu = k(\mathcal{M}) \int_- f d\mu, \quad (\mathcal{M}) \int^- k f d\mu = k(\mathcal{M}) \int^- f d\mu$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{M}) \int_- f d\mu + (\mathcal{M}) \int_- g d\mu & \leq (\mathcal{M}) \int_- (f + g) d\mu \leq (\mathcal{M}) \int^- (f + g) d\mu \\ & \leq (\mathcal{M}) \int^- f d\mu + (\mathcal{M}) \int^- g d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

From these we obtain

Corollary 3.3. *If f and g are \mathcal{M} -integrable functions and k is any scalar, then both kf and $f + g$ are \mathcal{M} -integrable, and in these cases*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{M}) \int (f + g) d\mu & = (\mathcal{M}) \int f d\mu + (\mathcal{M}) \int g d\mu, \quad (\mathcal{M}) \int k f d\mu \\ & = k(\mathcal{M}) \int f d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma can be verified directly.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be any bounded function. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The function f is \mathcal{M} -integrable.*

(2) For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\mathcal{A} \in \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that

$$S^u(f, \mathcal{A}) - S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) < \varepsilon.$$

(3) There is a number b such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N \rangle \in \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^N \mu(A_i^*) f(\xi_i) - b \right| < \varepsilon$$

holds for arbitrary points $\xi_i \in A_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

(4) For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N \rangle \in \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \mu(A_i^*) \omega(f, A_i) < \varepsilon,$$

where $\omega(f, A_i)$ is the oscillation of f on A_i .

4. The Lebesgue integral for bounded functions. In this section we consider the \mathcal{L} -integral where \mathcal{L} is the set of all measurable sets of X . It turns out with no surprise that this is exactly the Lebesgue integral.

The Lebesgue integral of a bounded real valued function can be defined in various equivalent ways. Here we adopt the following definition. For the case when $X = [a, b]$ see [4, Definition 3.6].

Let $s : X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a measurable function. The function s is a simple function if it has a finite range, equivalently, if there are pairwise disjoint measurable sets E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n of X which form a covering of X and $s = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \chi_{E_k}$, where χ_{E_k} is the characteristic function of E_k . The Lebesgue integral of the simple function $s = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \chi_{E_k}$ is defined by

$$\int s \, d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \mu(E_k).$$

Definition 4.1. Let f be a bounded measurable function on X . The lower and the upper Lebesgue integrals of f are defined by

$$\int_{-} f = \sup \left\{ \int \phi d\mu : \phi \leq f \text{ is a simple function} \right\},$$

$$\int^{+} f = \inf \left\{ \int \psi d\mu : \psi \geq f \text{ is a simple function} \right\}.$$

If these two integrals are equal, then f is called Lebesgue integrable on X and the common value is denoted by $(L) \int_X f d\mu$, or simply $\int f d\mu$.

Lemma 4.2. *A bounded function f is Lebesgue integrable if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an ordered measurable covering $\mathcal{A} = \langle E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n \rangle$ of X such that*

$$S^u(f, \mathcal{A}) - S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Suppose the condition is satisfied. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{A} = \langle E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n \rangle$ be an ordered measurable covering satisfying

$$S^u(f, \mathcal{A}) - S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) < \varepsilon.$$

If necessary we can replace \mathcal{A} by the ordered measurable covering \mathcal{B}^* obtained by removing the empty sets from the covering $\mathcal{A}^* = \langle E_1, E_2 - E_1, \dots, E_k - \cup_{j < k} E_j, \dots, E_n - \cup_{j < n} E_j \rangle$. This is possible because $S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) \leq S^l(f, \mathcal{A}^*) \leq S^u(f, \mathcal{A}^*) \leq S^u(f, \mathcal{A})$, and $S^l(f, \mathcal{B}^*) = S^l(f, \mathcal{A}^*)$, $S^u(f, \mathcal{B}^*) = S^u(f, \mathcal{A}^*)$. Thus we can assume the sets E_i are pairwise disjoint and nonempty. Define two simple functions ψ and ϕ as follows:

$$\psi = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \chi_{E_i}, \quad \phi = \sum_{i=1}^n l_i \chi_{E_i},$$

where $s_i = \sup f(E_i)$, $l_i = \inf f(E_i)$. Obviously $\phi \leq f \leq \psi$, and $\int \phi d\mu = S^l(f, \mathcal{A})$, $\int \psi d\mu = S^u(f, \mathcal{A})$. This then deduces that $\int^{+} f - \int_{-} f \leq S^u(f, \mathcal{A}) - S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) < \varepsilon$. Thus f is Lebesgue integrable.

Conversely if f is Lebesgue integrable, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there are simple functions ϕ and ψ such that

$$\phi = \sum c_k \chi_{E_k} \leq f \leq \psi = \sum s_i \chi_{B_i}$$

and

$$\int \psi d\mu - \int \phi d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

Let \mathcal{A} be the ordered measurable covering formed by the pairwise disjoint sets $E_k \cap B_i$ in any fixed order. Then one easily verifies that $\int \phi d\mu \leq S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) \leq S^u(f, \mathcal{A}) \leq \int \psi d\mu$, hence

$$S^u(f, \mathcal{A}) - S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) \leq \int \psi d\mu - \int \phi d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

Corollary 4.3. *A bounded function f is Lebesgue integrable if and only if it is \mathcal{L} -integrable. In this case the values for the two integrals are equal.*

Since \mathcal{L} is the largest collection of measurable sets satisfying the conditions (M1) and (M2), by Corollary 3.2 we deduce the following.

Corollary 4.4. *Let \mathcal{M} be a collection of measurable sets satisfying the conditions (M1) and (M2). If a bounded function f is \mathcal{M} -integrable it is also Lebesgue integrable, and in this case*

$$(\mathcal{M}) \int f d\mu = (L) \int f d\mu.$$

5. The R -integral. In this section we consider an integral for bounded real valued functions defined on a topological space X equipped with a normed Borel measure μ , that is $\mu(X) = 1$. Let \mathcal{O} be the collection of all open sets of X . The \mathcal{O} -integrable functions will be called R -integrable functions. We shall prove that R -integral is a generalization of the Riemann integral on intervals.

An ordered \mathcal{O} -covering of X is called an ordered open covering.

Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a bounded function. Recall that, for each subset A of X , the oscillation of f on A is defined by

$$\omega(f, A) = \sup\{f(x) : x \in A\} - \inf\{f(x) : x \in A\},$$

and for each point $a \in X$, the oscillation of f at a is defined by

$$\omega(f, a) = \inf\{\omega(f, U) : U \text{ is an open neighborhood of } a\}.$$

It is well known that f is continuous at a if and only if $\omega(f, a) = 0$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $D(f; \varepsilon) = \{x : \omega(f, x) \geq \varepsilon\}$ is a closed subset of X , and the set of discontinuity points of f , denoted by $D(f)$, is

$$D(f) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} D(f; 1/n).$$

A function f is said to be continuous almost everywhere if $\mu(D(f)) = 0$.

Lemma 5.1. *If a function f is R -integrable, then f is continuous almost everywhere.*

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, $\mu(D(f)) \neq 0$. Then $\mu(D(f; 1/n)) \neq 0$ for some n . Now for any ordered open covering $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N \rangle$ of X ,

$$\begin{aligned} S^u(f, \mathcal{A}) - S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) &= \sum_{k=1}^N \mu(A_k^*) \omega(f, A_k) \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^N \mu(D(f; 1/n) \cap A_k^*) \omega(f, A_k). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $D(f; 1/n) \cap A_k^* \subseteq D(f; 1/n) \cap A_k$. If $\mu(D(f; 1/n) \cap A_k^*) \neq 0$, then $D(f; 1/n) \cap A_k \neq \emptyset$. Since A_k is open, it follows that $\omega(f, A_k) \geq 1/n$, thus $\mu(D(f; 1/n) \cap A_k^*) \omega(f, A_k) \geq \mu(D(f; 1/n) \cap A_k^*) 1/n$. If $\mu(D(f; 1/n) \cap A_k^*) = 0$, then trivially $\mu(D(f; 1/n) \cap A_k^*) \omega(f, A_k) = \mu(D(f; 1/n) \cap A_k^*) 1/n$.

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^N \mu(D(f; 1/n) \cap A_k^*) \omega(f, A_k) &\geq \sum_{k=1}^N \mu(D(f; 1/n) \cap A_k^*) \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^N \mu(D(f; 1/n) \cap A_k^*) = \frac{1}{n} \mu(D(f; 1/n)). \end{aligned}$$

The last equation follows from the fact that the A_k^* 's are pairwise disjoint and their union is X . This contradicts the assumption that f is R -integrable. Hence $\mu(D(f)) = 0$.

For the converse conclusion to be true we need the measure to have the following property:

For any measure zero set A and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an open set U , such that

$$(*) \quad A \subseteq U \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(U) < \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space with a normed Borel measure μ satisfying the condition (*). If f is bounded and continuous almost everywhere, then f is R -integrable.*

Proof. Assume that f is continuous almost everywhere and $|f(x)| \leq B$ for all $x \in X$, where B is a positive number. Now for each $\varepsilon > 0$, by condition (*) we can choose an open set A_1 containing $D(f)$ such that $\mu(A_1) < (\varepsilon)/(4B)$. As a closed subset of X , $F = X - A_1$ is compact, and f is continuous at every point in F . Thus there is an open covering of F , say $\{A_2, A_2, \dots, A_N\}$ such that $\omega(f, A_k) < (\varepsilon/2)$ for each $k = 2, 3, \dots, N$. Put $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N \rangle$. Then

$$S^u(f, \mathcal{A}) - S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) = \sum_{k=1}^N \mu(A_k^*) \omega(f, A_k) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4B} 2B + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{k=2}^N \mu(A_k^*) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence f is R -integrable.

Theorem 5.3. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space with a normed Borel measure satisfying the condition (*). Then a bounded function is R -integrable if and only if it is continuous almost everywhere.*

It is well known that a bounded function defined on an interval $[a, b]$ is Riemann integrable if and only if it is continuous almost everywhere. And in this case the Riemann integral and the Lebesgue integral of f are equal. The Lebesgue measure μ on $[a, b]$ satisfies the condition (*). Thus combining the above results we obtain the following corollary which shows that the R -integral is a generalization of the Riemann integral.

Corollary 5.4. A bounded function f on $[a, b]$ is Riemann integrable if and only if it is R -integrable. And in this case the values of the two integrals of f are equal.

Remark 5.5. (1) Let $X = [a, b]$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{[c, d] : a \leq c \leq d \leq b\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$. Then \mathcal{I} satisfies the conditions (M1) and (M2) and we can prove that \mathcal{I} -integral also coincides with the Riemann integral.

(2) Suppose \mathcal{B} is a basis of a topological space X which includes X and \emptyset , so \mathcal{B} satisfies (M1) and (M2). It is natural to ask if \mathcal{B} -integral is equivalent to the R -integral. Since $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$, by Corollary 3.2 if f is \mathcal{B} -integrable, then it is R -integrable and the values of the two integrals of f are equal. Now suppose f is R -integrable and X is a compact Hausdorff space with a normed Borel measure satisfying the condition (*). Then f is continuous almost everywhere by Theorem 5.3. Let B be a bound of f . For each $\varepsilon > 0$ choose $n > 0$ with $1/n < (\varepsilon/2)$. Then there is an open set U of X with $\mu(U) < (\varepsilon/4B)$ and $D(f; (1/n)) \subseteq U$. There exist $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $D(f; (1/n)) \subseteq U_1 \cup U_2 \cdots \cup U_m \subseteq U$ because $D(f; (1/n))$ is a closed subset of the compact space X and \mathcal{B} is a basis. Let $W = U_1 \cup U_2 \cdots \cup U_m$. Now for each $x \in W^c$ we have $\omega(f; x) < (1/n)$, so there exists an open neighborhood V of x such that $\omega(f; V) < (1/n)$, and this V can be chosen from \mathcal{B} . Since W^c is compact it follows that there are $U_{m+1}, \dots, U_N \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $W^c \subseteq \cup_{i=m+1}^N U_i$ and $\omega(f; U_i) < (1/n)$ for each $i = m+1, \dots, N$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle U_1, U_2, \dots, U_N \rangle$. Then \mathcal{A} is an ordered \mathcal{B} -covering, and we have

the following equations and inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
S^u(f, \mathcal{A}) - S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \mu(U_i^*) \omega(f, U_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \mu(U_i^*) \omega(f, U_i) + \sum_{i=m+1}^N \mu(U_i^*) \omega(f, U_i) \\
&\leq 2B \sum_{i=1}^m \mu(U_i^*) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=m+1}^N \mu(U_i^*) \\
&\leq 2B\mu(U) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\mu(X) \leq 2B\frac{\varepsilon}{4B} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
&= \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence f is \mathcal{B} -integrable.

Remark 5.6. In [1] Edalat defines a Riemann type integral on compact metric spaces, also called R -integral, by using domain theory. He also proves that a bounded function f is R -integrable if and only if it is continuous almost everywhere [1, Theorem 6.5], and in this case R -integral of f is equal to the Lebesgue integral of f [1, Theorem 7.2]. Thus when X is a compact metric space then our R -integral is equivalent to Edalat's R -integral, and the values of the two integrals coincide for every integrable function.

6. Computability of R -integral. Compared with the Lebesgue integral, a distinct virtue of the Riemann integral is its computability, as was pointed out by Edalat in [1]. In terms of the definition given in this paper, computability means that one can choose a fixed countable collection $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=\infty}^{\infty}$ of ordered open coverings such that for each R -integrable function f , we have

$$\int f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^l(f, \mathcal{A}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^u(f, \mathcal{A}_n).$$

For compact metric spaces, Edalat has proved the computability of R -integral by using the domain theory. Here we provide an elementary proof for this fact.

In the following we assume that X is a compact metric space with a normed Borel measure μ satisfying the condition (*).

The main step in the proof is to show that if f is R-integrable then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that for each ordered open covering $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N \rangle$, if $\dim(A_i) < \delta$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, then $S^u(f, \mathcal{A}) - S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) < \varepsilon$, where $\dim(A_i) = \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in A_i\}$.

To prove the main result we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a real valued function defined on a compact metric space and $\omega(f, x) < \delta$ hold for all $x \in X$. Then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \delta$$

whenever $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$.

Lemma 6.2. *If $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is R-integrable, then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any ordered open covering $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N \rangle$ with $\dim(A_i) < \delta$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, then*

$$S^u(f, \mathcal{A}) - S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Suppose $|f(x)| \leq B$ for all $x \in X$. By Lemma 5.1, f is continuous almost everywhere. Choose a number $r > 0$ with $r < (\varepsilon/2)$. The set $D(f; r) = \{x \in X : \omega(f, x) \geq r\}$ is closed and has zero measure. Take an open set $U \supseteq D(f; r)$ such that $\mu(U) < (\varepsilon/4B)$. Since X is compact there is an open set V satisfying

$$D(f; r) \subseteq V \subseteq \text{cl}(V) \subseteq U, \text{cl}(V) \neq U,$$

where $\text{cl}(V)$ is the closure of V . Let $\delta_1 = \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in \text{cl}(V), y \in X - U\}$. Then $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\omega(f, x) < r$ for all $x \notin V$. By Lemma 6.1, it follows that there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in V^c$, if $d(x, y) < \delta_2$ then $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq r < (\varepsilon/2)$. Let $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. Now suppose $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N \rangle$ is an ordered open covering such that $\dim(A_i) < \delta$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Then each A_i is either contained in U or is contained in V^c . Assume that $A_{i_1}, A_{i_2}, \dots, A_{i_m}$ are contained

in U and the rest of them are contained in V^c . Then

$$\begin{aligned} S^u(f, \mathcal{A}) - S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) &= \sum_{j=1}^m \mu(A_{i_j}^*) (\sup f(A_{i_j}) - \inf f(A_{i_j})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \neq i_j} \mu(A_k^*) (\sup f(A_k) - \inf f(A_k)) \\ &\leq 2B \sum_{j=1}^m \mu(A_{i_j}^*) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{k \neq i_j} \mu((A_k)^*). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the sets A_i^* are pairwise disjoint sets, so

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \mu(A_{i_j}^*) = \mu(\cup_{j=1}^m A_{i_j}^*) \leq \mu(U).$$

Similarly

$$\sum_{k \neq i_j} \mu(A_k^*) \leq \mu(V^c).$$

Hence

$$S^u(f, \mathcal{A}) - S^l(f, \mathcal{A}) \leq 2B\mu(U) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\mu(V^c) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

The proof is complete.

Theorem 6.3. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ choose an ordered open covering \mathcal{A}_n such that each A_i in \mathcal{A}_n has diameter less than $1/n$. Then a bounded function f is R-integrable if and only if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^l(f, \mathcal{A}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^u(f, \mathcal{A}_n),$$

and in this case

$$\int f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^l(f, \mathcal{A}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^u(f, \mathcal{A}_n).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4 the condition is evidently sufficient. The necessity follows from Lemma 6.2. The equations

$$\int f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^l(f, \mathcal{A}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S^u(f, \mathcal{A}_n)$$

obviously hold. \square

Remark 6.4. Since X is a compact metric space, for each $n > 0$ there exists an ordered open covering \mathcal{A}_n such that for each A_i in \mathcal{A} , $\dim(A_i) < (1/n)$. Also by Lemma 2.2, if we define $\mathcal{B}_{n+1} = \mathcal{A}_{n+1} * \mathcal{B}_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\{\mathcal{B}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of ordered open coverings that can replace $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. In addition, for each bounded real valued function f we have two monotone sequences $S^l(f, \mathcal{B}_n) \nearrow$ and $S^u(f, \mathcal{B}_n) \searrow$, which converge to the same number when f is R-integrable.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank the referee for his valuable remarks and comments.

REFERENCES

1. A. Edalat, *Domain theory and integration*, Theoretical Computer Science, **151** (1995), 163–193.
2. ——— *When Scott is weak on the top*, Math. Structures Computer Science **7** (1996), 123–141.
3. A. Edalat and S. Negri, *The generalized Riemann integral on locally compact spaces*, Topology Appl. **89** (1998), 121–150.
4. J. Lawson, *Spaces of maximal points*, Math. Structures Computer Science **7** (1996), 543–555.
5. R.A. Gordon, *The integrals of Lebesgue, Denjoy, Perron, and Henstock*, American Mathematical Society.

MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION,
NANYANG TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY, 1 NANYANG WALK, SINGAPORE
637616

Email address: dszhao@nie.edu.sg