

# A variation of the Andrews-Stanley partition function and two interesting $q$ -series identities

Bernard L.S. Lin<sup>1</sup>, Lin Peng<sup>2</sup> and Pee Choon Toh<sup>3</sup>

<sup>12</sup> School of Science  
Jimei University  
Xiamen 361021, P.R. China

<sup>3</sup> Mathematics & Mathematics Education, National Institute of Education  
Nanyang Technological University  
1 Nanyang Walk, Singapore 637616, Singapore

<sup>1</sup>linlsjmu@163.com, <sup>2</sup>peng2618@163.com and <sup>3</sup>peechoon.toh@nie.edu.sg

**Abstract.** Stanley introduced a partition statistic  $\text{srank}(\pi) = \mathcal{O}(\pi) - \mathcal{O}(\pi')$ , where  $\mathcal{O}(\pi)$  denote the number of odd parts of the partition  $\pi$ , and  $\pi'$  is the conjugate of  $\pi$ . Let  $p_i(n)$  denote the number of partitions of  $n$  with  $\text{srank} \equiv i \pmod{4}$ . Andrews proved the following refinement of Ramanujan's partition congruence modulo 5:

$$p_0(5n+4) \equiv p_2(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}.$$

In this paper, we consider an analogous partition statistic

$$\text{lrank}(\pi) = \mathcal{O}(\pi) + \mathcal{O}(\pi').$$

Let  $p_i^+(n)$  denote the number of partitions of  $n$  with  $\text{lrank} \equiv i \pmod{4}$ . We will establish the generating functions of  $p_0^+(n)$  and  $p_2^+(n)$  and show that they satisfy similar properties to  $p_i(n)$ . We also utilize a pair of interesting  $q$ -series identities to obtain a direct proof of the congruences

$$p_0^+(5n+4) \equiv p_2^+(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}.$$

**Keywords:** Partitions, Stanley's partition function, Ramanujan's congruences

**AMS Classification:** 05A17, 11P83

## 1 Introduction

A partition of a positive integer  $n$  is a sequence of weakly decreasing positive integers whose sum equals  $n$ . For a partition  $\pi$ , let  $\pi'$  denote its conjugate and let  $\mathcal{O}(\pi)$  denote the number of odd parts in  $\pi$ . If  $\pi$  is a partition of  $n$ , then the number of odd parts must have the same parity as  $n$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}(\pi) \equiv \mathcal{O}(\pi') \pmod{2}$ . Stanley [11, 12] initiated a study on the number of partitions  $\pi$  of  $n$  for which

$$\mathcal{O}(\pi) \equiv \mathcal{O}(\pi') \pmod{4}.$$

Following [3], we define the partition statistic

$$\text{srank}(\pi) = \mathcal{O}(\pi) - \mathcal{O}(\pi')$$

and let  $p_i(n)$  denote the number of partitions of  $n$  with  $\text{srank} \equiv i \pmod{4}$ . Since  $\text{srank}(\pi)$  is always even, we see that

$$p_0(n) + p_2(n) = p(n),$$

where  $p(n)$  is the usual partition function. Stanley [12] established the following generating function:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p_0(n) - p_2(n))q^n = \frac{(-q; q^2)_{\infty}}{(q^4; q^4)_{\infty} (-q^2; q^4)_{\infty}^2}. \quad (1.1)$$

Here we use the standard notation

$$(a; q)_{\infty} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - aq^{n-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad (a_1, \dots, a_m; q)_{\infty} = (a_1; q)_{\infty} \dots (a_m; q)_{\infty}.$$

We remark that Stanley used the notation  $t(n)$  for  $p_0(n)$  and  $f(n)$  for  $p_0(n) - p_2(n)$ .

Andrews [1] subsequently obtained the generating function for  $p_0(n)$ :

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_0(n)q^n = \frac{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^2 (q^{16}; q^{16})_{\infty}^5}{(q; q)_{\infty} (q^4; q^4)_{\infty}^5 (q^{32}; q^{32})_{\infty}^2}. \quad (1.2)$$

A few years later, Chen, Ji and Zhu [7] obtained the generating function for  $p_2(n)$ :

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_2(n)q^n = \frac{2q^2 (q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^2 (q^8; q^8)_{\infty}^2 (q^{32}; q^{32})_{\infty}^2}{(q; q)_{\infty} (q^4; q^4)_{\infty}^5 (q^{16}; q^{16})_{\infty}}. \quad (1.3)$$

They also provided combinatorial interpretations of  $p_0(n)$  and  $p_2(n)$  in terms of hook lengths.

By studying the coefficients of  $q^{5n+4}$  in (1.1) and using Ramanujan's famous congruence  $p(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , Andrews proved the remarkable congruence

$$p_0(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}.$$

Swisher [13] subsequently showed that there are infinitely many arithmetic progressions  $An+B$  such that

$$p_0(An+B) \equiv p_2(An+B) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^j}$$

where  $\ell \geq 5$  is prime and  $j \geq 1$ .

In this paper, we shall study a variation of the  $\text{srank}$ . Define the partition statistic

$$\text{lrank}(\pi) = \mathcal{O}(\pi) + \mathcal{O}(\pi')$$

and let  $p_i^+(n)$  denote the number of partitions of  $n$  with  $\text{lrank} \equiv i \pmod{4}$ , where  $i = 0, 2$ . It also follows that

$$p_0^+(n) + p_2^+(n) = p(n).$$

In the next two sections, we will derive the generating functions for  $p_0^+(n)$  and  $p_2^+(n)$  and show that they satisfy similar properties to  $p_i(n)$ . For example,

$$p_0^+(5n+4) \equiv p_2^+(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}, \quad (1.4)$$

which gives a new refinement of the Ramanujan's congruence for  $p(5n+4)$ . Finally, in Section 4, we utilize two  $q$ -series identities to give a direct proof of (1.4) which is independent of Ramanujan's congruence.

## 2 Generating functions for $p_0^+(n)$ and $p_2^+(n)$

Let  $S_\infty(n, r, s)$  be the number of partitions  $\pi$  of  $n$  such that  $\mathcal{O}(\pi) = r, \mathcal{O}(\pi') = s$ . Andrews [1] found the following generating function

$$\sum_{n,r,s \geq 0} S_\infty(n, r, s) q^n y^r z^s = \frac{(-yzq; q^2)_\infty}{(q^4; q^4)_\infty (y^2 q^2; q^4)_\infty (z^2 q^2; q^4)_\infty}. \quad (2.1)$$

Combinatorial proofs of identity (2.1) were independently found by Sills [10], Yee [15], and Boulet [6]. With (2.1) in hand, we are in a position to prove the generating functions for  $p_0^+(n)$  and  $p_2^+(n)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.**

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_0^+(n) q^n = \frac{(-q^3; q^8)_\infty^2 (-q^5; q^8)_\infty^2 (q^8; q^8)_\infty^4}{(q^4; q^4)_\infty^5}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_2^+(n) q^n = \frac{q(-q; q^8)_\infty^2 (-q^7; q^8)_\infty^2 (q^8; q^8)_\infty^4}{(q^4; q^4)_\infty^5}. \quad (2.3)$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $\mathcal{O}(\pi)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(\pi')$  are congruent modulo 2 to the number being partitioned, thus  $\mathcal{O}(\pi) \equiv \mathcal{O}(\pi') \pmod{2}$ . Hence, using  $i$  to denote the square root of  $-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_0^+(n) q^n &= \sum_{\substack{n,r,s \geq 0 \\ 4|(r+s)}} S_\infty(n, r, s) q^n \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n,r,s \geq 0} S_\infty(n, r, s) (1 + i^{r+s}) q^n \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(-q; q^2)_\infty}{(q^4; q^4)_\infty (q^2; q^4)_\infty^2} + \frac{(q; q^2)_\infty}{(q^4; q^4)_\infty (-q^2; q^4)_\infty^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{(-q; q^2)_\infty (-q^2; q^4)_\infty^2 + (q; q^2)_\infty (q^2; q^4)_\infty^2}{2(q^4; q^4)_\infty (q^4; q^8)_\infty^2} \\ &= \frac{(-q; q^2)_\infty (q^8; q^8)_\infty^2}{2(q^4; q^4)_\infty^4} \left( (-q^2; q^4)_\infty^2 (q^4; q^4)_\infty + (q; q^2)_\infty^2 (q^2; q^2)_\infty \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

By [4, p. 51, Example (iv)], we see that

$$(-q^2; q^4)_\infty^2 (q^4; q^4)_\infty + (q; q^2)_\infty^2 (q^2; q^2)_\infty = \frac{2(-q^3; q^8)_\infty^2 (-q^5; q^8)_\infty^2 (q^8; q^8)_\infty^2 (q; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_0^+(n) q^n &= \frac{(-q; q^2)_\infty (q^8; q^8)_\infty^2}{2(q^4; q^4)_\infty^4} \times \frac{2(-q^3; q^8)_\infty^2 (-q^5; q^8)_\infty^2 (q^8; q^8)_\infty^2 (q; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty} \\ &= \frac{(-q^3; q^8)_\infty^2 (-q^5; q^8)_\infty^2 (q^8; q^8)_\infty^4 (q^2; q^4)_\infty}{(q^4; q^4)_\infty^4 (q^2; q^2)_\infty} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{(-q^3; q^8)_\infty^2 (-q^5; q^8)_\infty^2 (q^8; q^8)_\infty^4}{(q^4; q^4)_\infty^5}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_2^+(n)q^n &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(-q; q^2)_\infty}{(q^4; q^4)_\infty (q^2; q^4)_\infty^2} - \frac{(q; q^2)_\infty}{(q^4; q^4)_\infty (-q^2; q^4)_\infty^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{(-q; q^2)_\infty (q^8; q^8)_\infty^2}{2(q^4; q^4)_\infty^4} \left( (-q^2; q^4)_\infty^2 (q^4; q^4)_\infty - (q; q^2)_\infty^2 (q^2; q^2)_\infty \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

By [4, p. 51, Example (iv)], we see that

$$(-q^2; q^4)_\infty^2 (q^4; q^4)_\infty - (q; q^2)_\infty^2 (q^2; q^2)_\infty = \frac{2q(-q; q^8)_\infty^2 (-q^7; q^8)_\infty^2 (q^8; q^8)_\infty^2 (q; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty}.$$

Combining the above two identities together, we get (2.3). This completes the proof. ■

### 3 Congruences and Inequalities for $p_0^+(n)$ and $p_2^+(n)$

**Theorem 3.1.** *For all  $n \geq 0$ ,*

$$p_0^+(5n+4) \equiv p_2^+(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}. \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof.* From (2.4) and (2.5), we see that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p_0^+(n) - p_2^+(n))q^n = \frac{(q; q^2)_\infty}{(q^4; q^4)_\infty (-q^2; q^4)_\infty^2}.$$

Comparing with (1.1), we observe that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p_0^+(n) - p_2^+(n))q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p_0(n) - p_2(n))(-q)^n.$$

Equating the coefficients of  $q^n$ , we obtain

$$p_0^+(n) - p_2^+(n) = (-1)^n (p_0(n) - p_2(n)). \quad (3.2)$$

Recall that in [1], Andrews proved  $p_0(5n+4) - p_2(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$  and used Ramanujan's congruence to deduce  $p_0(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ . Consequently  $p_2(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ . In the same way, after establishing (3.2), together with the fact

$$p_0^+(5n+4) + p_2^+(5n+4) = p(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5},$$

we can conclude that  $p_0^+(5n+4) \equiv p_2^+(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ . ■

In fact, there are many congruences satisfied by  $p_i^+(n)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *There are infinitely many arithmetic progressions  $An + B$ , such that for all  $n \geq 0$ ,*

$$p_0^+(An + B) \equiv p_2^+(An + B) \equiv p(An + B) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^j},$$

where  $\ell \geq 5$  is prime and  $j \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Swisher [13] proved that there are infinitely many arithmetic progressions  $An + B$ , such that for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $p_0(An + B) \equiv p(An + B) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^j}$  where  $\ell \geq 5$  is prime and  $j \geq 1$ . For those progressions  $An + B$ , we see that  $p_2(An + B) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^j}$ . Recall that  $p_0^+(n) - p_2^+(n) = (-1)^n(p_0(n) - p_2(n))$ . This means  $p_0^+(An + B) - p_2^+(An + B) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^j}$  and  $p_0^+(An + B) \equiv p_2^+(An + B) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^j}$ . ■

There is an easier way to prove the previous two theorems. Recall that  $p_0^+(2n)$  counts partitions of  $2n$  where

$$\text{lrnk}(\pi) = \mathcal{O}(\pi) + \mathcal{O}(\pi') \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

Coupled with the fact that we now have  $\mathcal{O}(\pi) \equiv \mathcal{O}(\pi') \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , we can deduce that

$$\mathcal{O}(\pi) \equiv \mathcal{O}(\pi') \pmod{4}.$$

In other words,  $\text{srnk}(\pi) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , thus  $p_0^+(2n) = p_0(2n)$ . Depending on the parity of  $n$ , similar arguments can be used to derive other relations between  $p_i^+(n)$  and  $p_i(n)$ . We record these relations as the next result.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For all  $n \geq 0$ ,*

$$p_0^+(2n) = p_0(2n), \tag{3.3}$$

$$p_0^+(2n + 1) = p_2(2n + 1), \tag{3.4}$$

$$p_2^+(2n) = p_2(2n), \tag{3.5}$$

$$p_2^+(2n + 1) = p_0(2n + 1). \tag{3.6}$$

From (1.3), we see that  $p_2(n)$  is always even.

**Corollary 3.1.** *For  $n \geq 0$ , we have*

$$p_0^+(2n + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$p_2^+(2n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \tag{3.8}$$

Andrews [1] and Chen et. al. [7] provided 4-dissections of  $p_0(n)$  and  $p_2(n)$  respectively. Using their results and Lemma 3.1, it is straightforward to write down 4-dissections of  $p_0^+(n)$  and  $p_2^+(n)$ . In another related paper, Berkovich and Garvan proved several inequalities, including the surprising result [2, Eq. (1.17)]

$$|p_0(2n) - p_2(2n)| > |p_0(2n + 1) - p_2(2n + 1)|,$$

which holds for all  $n \geq 1$ . They also proved that [2, p. 281] for  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$p_0(n) > \frac{p(n)}{2}, \text{ if } n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}, \tag{3.9}$$

$$p_0(n) < \frac{p(n)}{2}, \text{ if } n \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}. \quad (3.10)$$

By combining Lemma 3.1 and their results, we can obtain the following analogues.

**Corollary 3.2.** *For  $n \geq 0$ , we have*

$$p_0^+(n) > p_2^+(n), \text{ if } n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$p_0^+(n) < p_2^+(n), \text{ if } n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}. \quad (3.12)$$

**Corollary 3.3.** *For  $n \geq 1$ , we have*

$$|p_0^+(2n) - p_2^+(2n)| > |p_2^+(2n+1) - p_0^+(2n+1)|. \quad (3.13)$$

## 4 Two interesting $q$ -series identities

Our previous proof of Theorem 3.1 relied on the known congruences for  $p_i(5n+4)$  and  $p(5n+4)$ . We can actually give an independent proof directly from the generating functions of  $p_0^+(n)$  and  $p_2^+(n)$  which leads to a new refinement of  $p(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ . To this end, we define  $a(n)$  and  $b(n)$  as follows,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n = (-q^3; q^8)_{\infty}^2 (-q^5; q^8)_{\infty}^2 (q^8; q^8)_{\infty}^4, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n)q^n = q(-q; q^8)_{\infty}^2 (-q^7; q^8)_{\infty}^2 (q^8; q^8)_{\infty}^4. \quad (4.2)$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_0^+(n)q^n \equiv \frac{1}{(q^{20}; q^{20})_{\infty}} \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n \pmod{5}, \quad (4.3)$$

we have  $p_0^+(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$  if  $a(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ . Similarly, if  $b(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$  then  $p_2^+(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ . In fact, we have the following stronger result.

**Theorem 4.1.**

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(5n+4)q^n = -5 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n)q^{5n+3}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(5n+4)q^n = -5 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^{5n+3}. \quad (4.5)$$

The coefficients of  $a(n)$  and  $b(n)$  are interlinked in a way that is analogous to some recent investigations by Hirschhorn [8]. Just as Hirschhorn's results were generalized from the prime 5 to infinitely many primes in [9, 14], the same holds for Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Suppose  $n \geq 0$  and  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  is prime. If  $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ , then*

$$a \left( p^2 n + \frac{19(p^2 - 1)}{24} \right) = -pb(n), \quad (4.6)$$

$$b \left( p^2 n + \frac{19(p^2 - 1)}{24} \right) = -pa(n). \quad (4.7)$$

*If  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ , then*

$$a \left( p^2 n + \frac{19(p^2 - 1)}{24} \right) = -pa(n), \quad (4.8)$$

$$b \left( p^2 n + \frac{19(p^2 - 1)}{24} \right) = -pb(n). \quad (4.9)$$

*Proof.* Combining Entries 30(v) and 30(vi) in [4, p. 46], we have

$$f(a, b)^2 = f(a^2, b^2)\varphi(ab) + 2af(b/a, a^3b)\psi(a^2b^2).$$

(Definitions of  $f(a, b)$ ,  $\varphi(q)$  and  $\psi(q)$  can be found in [4, pp. 34–36].) Applying the above identity with  $a \mapsto q^3, b \mapsto q^5$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (-q^3, -q^5, q^8; q^8)_\infty^2 &= (-q^6, -q^{10}, q^{16}; q^{16})_\infty \frac{(q^{16}; q^{16})_\infty^5}{(q^8; q^8)_\infty^2 (q^{32}; q^{32})_\infty^2} \\ &\quad + 2q^3 (-q^2, -q^{14}, q^{16}; q^{16})_\infty \frac{(q^{32}; q^{32})_\infty^2}{(q^{16}; q^{16})_\infty} \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by  $(q^8; q^8)_\infty^2$ , we conclude that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n = (-q^6, -q^{10}, q^{16}; q^{16})_\infty \frac{(q^{16}; q^{16})_\infty^5}{(q^{32}; q^{32})_\infty^2} + 2q^3 (-q^2, -q^{14}, q^{16}; q^{16})_\infty \frac{(q^8; q^8)_\infty^2 (q^{32}; q^{32})_\infty^2}{(q^{16}; q^{16})_\infty}.$$

From the following identities [5, Cor. 1.3.21 and 1.3.22]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(q^2; q^2)_\infty^5}{(q^4; q^4)_\infty^2} &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (6m+1)q^{3m^2+m}, \\ \frac{(q; q)_\infty^2 (q^4; q^4)_\infty^2}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty} &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (3m+1)q^{3m^2+2m}, \end{aligned}$$

and the Jacobi triple product identity [5, Th. 1.3.3], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{8n^2+2n} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (6m+1)q^{8(3m^2+m)} \\ &\quad + 2q^3 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{8n^2+6n} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (3m+1)q^{8(3m^2+2m)}. \end{aligned}$$

The above series representation can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n = \sum_{\substack{x \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \\ y \equiv 1 \pmod{8}}} xq^{\frac{16x^2+3y^2-19}{24}} + \sum_{\substack{x \equiv 2 \pmod{6} \\ y \equiv 3 \pmod{8}}} xq^{\frac{16x^2+3y^2-19}{24}}. \quad (4.10)$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n)q^n = \sum_{\substack{x \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \\ y \equiv 3 \pmod{8}}} xq^{\frac{16x^2+3y^2-19}{24}} + \sum_{\substack{x \equiv 2 \pmod{6} \\ y \equiv 1 \pmod{8}}} xq^{\frac{16x^2+3y^2-19}{24}}. \quad (4.11)$$

Now given a prime  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ , when

$$\frac{16x^2 + 3y^2 - 19}{24} = pm + \frac{19(p^2 - 1)}{24},$$

the expression is equivalent to

$$(4x)^2 + 3y^2 = 24pm + 19p^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Since  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , we conclude that the above congruence holds only when  $p \mid x$  and  $p \mid y$ . We write  $x = -px_1$  where  $x \equiv x_1 \pmod{6}$  and  $y = \pm py_1$ . We further assume  $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$  which means if  $y \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$ , then  $y_1 \equiv 3, 1 \pmod{8}$ . Returning to the previous equation,

$$16x^2 + 3y^2 = p^2(16x_1^2 + 3y_1^2) = 24pm + 19p^2.$$

In other words,

$$\frac{16x_1^2 + 3y_1^2 - 19}{24} = \frac{m}{p}.$$

Now extracting the coefficients from (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a\left(pn + \frac{19(p^2 - 1)}{24}\right) &= \sum_{\substack{x \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \\ y \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ 16x^2+3y^2=24pn+19p^2}} x + \sum_{\substack{x \equiv 2 \pmod{6} \\ y \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \\ 16x^2+3y^2=24pn+19p^2}} x \\ &= \sum_{\substack{x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \\ y_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \\ 16x_1^2+3y_1^2=24n/p+19}} -px_1 + \sum_{\substack{x_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{6} \\ y_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ 16x_1^2+3y_1^2=24n/p+19}} -px_1 \\ &= -pb(n/p). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.6). The proofs of the other cases are analogous. ■

Finally, we remark that if we define

$$c(n) = a(n) + b(n),$$

then it follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c(n)q^n = \frac{(q^4; q^4)_{\infty}^5}{(q; q)_{\infty}}. \quad (4.12)$$

With some calculations, the above fact can also be deduced directly from summing (4.10) and (4.11). Theorem 4.2 means that for every prime  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} c\left(p^2n + \frac{19(p^2 - 1)}{24}\right) &= a\left(p^2n + \frac{19(p^2 - 1)}{24}\right) + b\left(p^2n + \frac{19(p^2 - 1)}{24}\right) \\ &= -p(a(n) + b(n)) \\ &= -pc(n). \end{aligned}$$

**Acknowledgments.** The authors thank the anonymous referee for helpful comments that improved the paper. The first author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11871246), the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province of China (No. 2019J01328), and the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in Fujian Province University (No. B17160).

## References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, On a partition function of Richard Stanley, *Electron. J. Combin.*, 11(2) (2004), #R1.
- [2] A. Berkovich and F.G. Garvan, Dissecting the Stanley partition function, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 112 (2005), 277–291.
- [3] A. Berkovich and F.G. Garvan, On the Andrews-Stanley refinement of Ramanujan’s partition congruence modulo 5 and generalizations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 358 (2006), 703–726.
- [4] B.C. Berndt, *Ramanujan’s Notebooks, Part III*, Springer, New York, 1991.
- [5] B.C. Berndt, *Number Theory in the Spirit of Ramanujan*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2006.
- [6] C.E. Boulet, A four-parameter partition identity, *Ramanujan J.*, 12 (2006), 315–320.
- [7] W.Y.C. Chen, K.Q. Ji and A.J.W. Zhu, On Stanley’s partition function, *Electron. J. Combin.*, 17 (2010), #N31.
- [8] M.D. Hirschhorn, Some interesting  $q$ -series identities, *Ramanujan J.*, 36 (2015), 297–304.
- [9] T.P.N. Ho and P.C. Toh, A general transformation for theta series associated with the quadratic form  $x^2 + ky^2$ , *Ramanujan J.*, 45 (2018), 695–717.
- [10] A.V. Sills, A combinatorial proof of a partition identity of Andrews and Stanley, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 47 (2004), 2495–2501.
- [11] R.P. Stanley, Problem 10969, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 109 (2002), 760.
- [12] R.P. Stanley, Some remarks on sign-balanced and maj-balanced posets, *Adv. Appl. Math.*, 34 (2005), 880–902.

- [13] H. Swisher, The Andrews-Stanley partition function and  $p(n)$ : congruences, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 139 (2011), 1175–1185.
- [14] P.C. Toh, On certain pairs of  $q$ -series identities, Ramanujan J., 40 (2016), 359–365.
- [15] A.J. Yee. On partition functions of Andrews and Stanley, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 107 (2004), 313–321.